

speed limit and so wrong on this issue? There are considerations other than just the amount of money, but she went to the wrong considerations. She apparently has been persuaded by some individuals that if we are to restore this small amount of money to do the great amount of good that it will accomplish, it somehow is going to break the budget or hurt the state's overall financial situation. I totally reject that. If facts or figures produced by anybody were to be considered, we would have to reject that argument out of hand. And to show that she realized that her position was weak, or whoever drafted that letter, to indicate that the senator didn't know what they voted on is usually the signal of a very weak antiposition that a Governor may assume on a particular piece of legislation. I believe we have to look at the amount of good that can be done by this bill. Some of you all come from rural areas and now your eyes are open to the needs of certain people in your areas, but remember this. Those who are trying to seek welfare now, or social services, those who are trying to go through the courts to save their farms are viewed by certain individuals as the radical element. I can tell you here this morning without fear of contradiction by anybody that the radical elements have not even gotten involved in the farm issue yet. When you see a group such as that in Iowa who stood around peacefully protesting a farm foreclosure sale, that was not the radical element. Those are ordinary desperate people trying to do something to help save a neighbor because they see that the same thing is farther down the line for them. When you get into that truly radical element, you and everybody else will know, and what you ought to keep in mind is that converts to that element should not be provided by legislation such as the Governor's veto would indicate. This bill is a show or an attempt by the Legislature to make a show of good faith to try to help those people who are in desperate circumstances. If every time a proposition comes before the body that can benefit the people who need the help and the Legislature for purely political partisan reasons strikes down such a proposition, you can only increase the stature of a person such as myself, such as what I am supposed to be, such as what I am perceived to be by certain people who don't understand me and don't understand the situation in rural Nebraska, even some of the rural senators who sit in this body. There are senators in this body who would be afraid to go among certain of their constituents, and by constituents I mean the people who live in their district, and that should not be the case. Nobody in the state should feel that they cannot approach any member of this body. There is no member of this body who should be afraid to talk to any